

GLT approach / Regression

Yes



For the general linear test approach

page 72-73, 121-127, 972-974 of textbook

H_0 : reduced model v.s. H_1 : full model.

GLT approach: Reject H_0 at level α if $F^* > F_{df_R - df_F, df_F, \alpha}$

Ex: problem 6.18 Commercial properties. page 252

A commercial real estate company evaluates vacancy rates, square footage, rental rates, and operating expenses for commercial properties in a large metropolitan area in order to provide

clients with quantitative information upon which to make rental decisions. The data below are taken from 81 suburban commercial properties that are the newest, best located, most attractive, and expensive for five specific geographic areas. Shown here are the age (x_1), operating expenses and taxes (x_2), vacancy rates (x_3), total square footage (x_4), and rental rates (Y).

Full model: $Y =$

Denote $SSE_F = SSE_{1234}$

```
ch6pr18 <- matrix(scan("CH06PR18.txt"),ncol=5, byrow=T) ;
# y <- ch6pr18[,1];
# x1 <- ch6pr18[,2];
# x2 <- ch6pr18[,3];
# x3 <- ch6pr18[,4];
# x4 <- ch6pr18[,5];
dum <- data.frame(ch6pr18)
names(dum) <- c("Rates","Age","OET","VR","TSF")
# dum <- data.frame("Rates"=y,"Age"=x1,"OET"=x2,"VR"=x3,"TSF"=x4)
attach(dum)
dum
```

	Rates	Age	OET	VR	TSF
1	13.500	1	5.02	0.14	123000
2	12.000	14	8.19	0.27	104079
3	10.500	16	3.00	0.00	39998
4	15.000	4	10.70	0.05	57112
5	14.000	11	8.97	0.07	60000
6	10.500	15	9.45	0.24	101385
7	14.000	2	8.00	0.19	31300
8	16.500	1	6.62	0.60	248172
9	17.500	1	6.20	0.00	215000
10	16.500	8	11.78	0.03	251015
11	17.000	12	14.62	0.08	291264
12	16.500	2	11.55	0.03	207549
13	16.000	2	9.63	0.00	82000
14	16.500	13	12.99	0.04	359665
15	17.225	2	12.01	0.03	265500
16	17.000	1	12.01	0.00	299000
17	16.000	1	7.99	0.14	189258
18	14.625	12	10.33	0.12	366013
19	14.500	16	10.67	0.00	349930
20	14.500	3	9.45	0.03	85335

21	16.500	6	12.65	0.13	235932
22	16.500	3	12.08	0.00	130000
23	15.000	3	10.52	0.05	40500
24	15.000	3	9.47	0.00	40500
25	13.000	14	11.62	0.00	45959
26	12.500	1	5.00	0.33	120000
27	14.000	15	9.89	0.05	81243
28	13.750	16	11.13	0.06	153947
29	14.000	2	7.96	0.22	97321
30	15.000	16	10.73	0.09	276099
31	13.750	2	7.95	0.00	90000
32	15.625	3	9.10	0.00	184000
33	15.625	3	12.05	0.03	184718
34	13.000	16	8.43	0.04	96000
35	14.000	16	10.60	0.04	106350
36	15.250	13	10.55	0.10	135512
37	16.250	1	5.50	0.21	180000
38	13.000	14	8.53	0.03	315000
39	14.500	3	9.04	0.04	42500
40	11.500	15	8.20	0.00	30005
41	14.250	1	6.13	0.00	60000
42	15.500	15	8.32	0.00	73521
43	12.000	1	4.00	0.00	50000
44	14.250	15	10.10	0.00	50724
45	14.000	3	5.25	0.16	31750
46	16.500	3	11.62	0.00	168000
47	14.500	4	5.31	0.00	70000
48	15.500	1	5.75	0.00	27000
49	16.750	4	12.46	0.03	129614
50	16.750	4	12.75	0.00	129614
51	16.750	2	12.75	0.00	130000
52	16.750	2	11.38	0.00	209000
53	17.000	1	5.99	0.57	220000
54	16.000	2	11.37	0.27	60000
55	14.500	3	10.38	0.00	110000
56	15.000	15	10.77	0.05	101206
57	15.000	17	11.30	0.00	288847
58	16.000	1	7.06	0.14	105000
59	15.500	14	12.10	0.05	276425
60	15.250	2	10.04	0.06	33000
61	16.500	1	4.99	0.73	210000
62	19.250	0	7.33	0.22	240000
63	17.750	18	12.11	0.00	281552

64	18.750	16	12.86	0.00	421000
65	19.250	13	12.70	0.04	484290
66	14.000	20	11.58	0.00	234493
67	14.000	18	11.58	0.03	230675
68	18.000	16	12.97	0.08	296966
69	13.750	1	4.82	0.00	32000
70	15.000	2	9.75	0.03	38533
71	15.500	16	10.36	0.02	109912
72	15.900	1	8.13	0.23	236000
73	15.250	15	13.23	0.05	243338
74	15.500	4	10.57	0.04	122183
75	14.750	20	11.22	0.00	128268
76	15.000	3	10.34	0.00	72000
77	14.500	3	10.67	0.00	43404
78	13.500	18	8.60	0.08	59443
79	15.000	15	11.97	0.14	254700
80	15.250	11	11.27	0.03	434746
81	14.500	14	12.68	0.03	201930

```
fm1234 <- lm(Rates~Age+OET+VR+TSF, dum)
SSE1234<-sum((Rates-fitted(fm1234))^2)
SSE1234
```

```
[1] 98.23059
```

```
anova(fm1234)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: Rates

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)	
Age	1	14.819	14.819	11.4649	0.001125	**
OET	1	72.802	72.802	56.3262	9.699e-11	***
VR	1	8.381	8.381	6.4846	0.012904	*
TSF	1	42.325	42.325	32.7464	1.976e-07	***
Residuals	76	98.231	1.293			

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```
fm12 <- lm(Rates~Age+OET, dum) # reduced model has only two predictors X1, X2
SSE12<-sum((Rates-fitted(fm12))^2)
SSE12
```

```
[1] 148.937
```

```
anova(fm12)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: Rates

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Age	1	14.819	14.819	7.7606	0.006701 **
OET	1	72.802	72.802	38.1272	2.787e-08 ***
Residuals	78	148.937	1.909		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```
F34 <- ((SSE12-SSE1234)/2)/(SSE1234/76) # F stat for testing H0: beta3=0 and beta4=0
F34
```

```
[1] 19.6155
```

```
qf(.95,2,76) # level of the test = 0.05
```

```
[1] 3.116982
```

```
fm3 <- lm(Rates~VR, dum) # reduced model has only one predictor X3
SSE3<-sum((Rates-fitted(fm3))^2)
SSE3
```

```
[1] 235.5106
```

```
anova(fm3)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: Rates

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
VR	1	1.047	1.0470	0.3512	0.5551
Residuals	79	235.511	2.9811		

```
F124 <- ((SSE3-SSE1234)/3)/(SSE1234/76) # F stat for testing H0: beta1=beta2=beta4=0
F124
```

```
[1] 35.40403
```

```
qf(.95,3,76)
```

```
[1] 2.724944
```

```
# Ex 3
fm124 <- lm(Rates~Age+OET+TSF, dum) # reduced model has three predictors X1 2 4
SSE124<-sum((Rates-fitted(fm124))^2)
SSE124
```

```
[1] 98.65034
```

```
anova(fm124)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: Rates

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
Age	1	14.819	14.819	11.566	0.001067 **
OET	1	72.802	72.802	56.825	7.841e-11 ***
TSF	1	50.287	50.287	39.251	1.973e-08 ***
Residuals	77	98.650	1.281		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```
F3 <- ((SSE124-SSE1234)/1)/(SSE1234/76) # F stat fpr testing H0: beta3=0
F3
```

```
[1] 0.3247534
```

```
qf(.95,1,76)
```

```
[1] 3.96676
```

What is H_0 : β for Ex s ?