

★ Answer and mark clearly the questions in the provided answer sheets on each of these you should write down your name.

* **Note:** No points will be given if no arguments are provided for an answer. Using a hand calculator is allowed.

Good Luck! ~ ~ Yuling ☺

1. Consider the general linear regression model : $\underline{Y} = D\underline{\beta} + \underline{\epsilon}$, where $E(\underline{\epsilon}) = \underline{0}$, $\sigma^2\{\underline{\epsilon}\} = \sigma^2 \cdot I_{n \times n}$, $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)^t$, $p = k + 1 < n$.
 - (a) (10 points) Show that \underline{b} is a least squares estimate of $\underline{\beta}$ if and only if \underline{b} satisfies the normal equations:

$$D^t \underline{Y} = D^t D \underline{b}.$$
 - (b) (10 points) Now, assume D is of full rank. Show that $SSTO = \underline{Y}^t P_1 \underline{Y}$, $SSR = \underline{Y}^t P_2 \underline{Y}$ and $SSE = \underline{Y}^t P_3 \underline{Y}$ with each P_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ be a $n \times n$, symmetric and idempotent matrix. Find P_j and compute $\text{rank}(P_j)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$.
 - (c) (10 points) If, furthermore, assume each ϵ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, distributes normally. Show the independence between SSR and SSE , and derive the distribution of SSR/σ^2 and that of SSE/σ^2 , respectively.
2. (10 points) Show that the coefficient of multiple determination R^2 can be viewed as the coefficient of simple determination between the responses Y_i 's and the fitted values \hat{Y}_i 's.
3. (10 points) A student fitted a linear regression function for a class assignment. Show that: when the student regressed the residuals e_i 's against Y_i 's, the resulted fitted line has a positive slope; however, when the residuals were regressed against, the fitted values \hat{Y}_i 's, the resulted fitted line has a zero slope. Why?
4. (10 points) Let $E(Y_i) = \mu_1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $E(Y_i) = \mu_2$, $i = 4, 5, 6, 7$, and $E(Y_i) = \mu_3$, $i = 8, 9, 10, 11, 12$ where μ_1 , μ_2 , μ_3 are unknown parameters. Also, assume that Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{12} are independent normally distributed with common unknown variance σ^2 .

Write this in a linear regression model form: $\mathbf{Y}_{12 \times 1} = \mathbf{D}\beta + \epsilon$, with suitable design matrix D and unknown regression coefficients vector β .

Find the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) for η , where $\eta = \mu_1 - (\mu_2 + \mu_3)/2$, and show how to utilize this for testing the hypothesis

$H_0 : \mu_1 = (\mu_2 + \mu_3)/2$ v.s. $H_1 : \mu_1 \neq (\mu_2 + \mu_3)/2$.

What if for one-sided $H_1 : \mu_1 > (\mu_2 + \mu_3)/2$?

5. A hospital surgical unit was interested in predicting survival in patients undergoing a particular type of liver operation. A random selection of 54 patients was available for analysis. From each patient record, the following information was extracted from the preoperation evaluation:

X_1 : blood clotting score (BCS)

X_2 : prognostic index (PI)

X_3 : enzyme test (ET)

X_4 : liver function test score (LT).

X_5 : age (Age)

These constitute the pool of potential predictor variables and Y : log of survival time (LST), is the response variable. A multiple linear regression model is fitted to the data.

Attached below is a part of the data analysis output by R , with (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5) missing, for the data set.

* Indicate where the numbers come from the given output for computing your answer to each of the following questions, otherwise no point will be given.

- (10 points) Utilize the available information to calculate (1), (2), (3) (4) and (5); explain your calculation. What is the fitted regression function?
- (10 points) Set up the ANOVA table for testing whether there is a regression relation, using $\alpha = 0.01$. Please state the null and alternative, also the decision rule and conclusion.
- (10 points) Obtain the Bonferroni joint confidence intervals, with family confidence coefficient 90%, for β_2 and β_3 ; then indicate how the intervals can be used to test a certain null hypothesis, please state the null and alternative, also the decision rule and conclusion.
- (10 points) Use the general linear test approach to test $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$, at level 0.10. Is the test conclusion the same as you have in (c)? Why?
- (10 points) The commercial real estate company would like to predict the response for the cases with the following readings:

	BCS	PI	ET	LT	Age
case 1	6.2	76	67	1.8	45
case 2	7.5	58	77	2.3	38
case 3	8.3	82	91	3.1	50
case 4	9.0	90	88	3.6	48

Find the simultaneous Bonferroni prediction intervals for these four cases, with family confidence coefficient 90%.

<< R Outputs For Problem 5. >>

```
> ch09TA01<-matrix(scan("ch09TA01.txt"),ncol=10, byrow=T) ;
Read 540 items
> y <- ch09TA01[,10]; > x1 <- ch09TA01[,1]; > x2 <- ch09TA01[,2];
> x3 <- ch09TA01[,3]; > x4 <- ch09TA01[,4]; > x5 <- ch09TA01[,5];
> dum <- data.frame("LST"=y,"BCS"=x1,"PI"=x2,"ET"=x3,"LT"=x4, "Age"=x5)
> fm <- lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age, dum)
> summary(fm)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = LST ~ BCS + PI + ET + LT + Age, data = dum)
```

Residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-0.38972	-0.18936	0.00492	0.17813	0.51173

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	4.047377	0.296662	13.643	< 2e-16 ***
BCS	0.090811	0.028959	3.136	0.00292 **
PI	0.012969	0.002300	(1)	8.91e-07 ***
ET	0.016130	0.002107	7.655	7.33e-10 ***
LT	0.011042	0.053012	0.208	0.83587
Age	-0.004579	0.003196	-1.433	0.15842

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.2482 on 48 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: (2), Adjusted R-squared: 0.745

F-statistic: 31.97 on (3) and (4) DF, p-value: 3.462e-14

```
> anova(fm)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
BCS	1	0.7763	0.7763	12.5986	0.0008756 ***
PI	1	2.5888	2.5888	42.0162	4.583e-08 ***
ET	1	6.3341	6.3341	102.8029	1.608e-13 ***
LT	1	0.0246	0.0246	0.3989	0.5306560
Age	1	0.1265	0.1265	2.0527	0.1584200
Residuals	48	2.9575	(5)		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

> confint(fm,level=.9875)
              0.625 %      99.375 %
(Intercept)  3.277442921  4.817310996
BCS          0.015653577  0.165968561
PI           0.006998752  0.018938302
ET           0.010661441  0.021598034
LT           -0.126539790  0.148624750
Age          -0.012872825  0.003715463
> confint(fm,level=.975)
              1.25 %      98.75 %
(Intercept)  3.360930651  4.733823266
BCS          0.023803273  0.157818865
PI           0.007646084  0.018290970
ET           0.011254395  0.021005079
LT           -0.111621068  0.133706028
Age          -0.011973450  0.002816088
> confint(fm,level=.95)
              2.5 %      97.5 %
(Intercept)  3.450897714  4.643856202
BCS          0.032585453  0.149036686
PI           0.008343654  0.017593400
ET           0.011893367  0.020366107
LT           -0.095544532  0.117629491
Age          -0.011004276  0.001846915
> confint(fm,level=.9)
              5 %      95 %
(Intercept)  3.549808011  4.5449459061
BCS          0.042240630  0.1393815083
PI           0.009110567  0.0168264868
ET           0.012595857  0.0196636173
LT           -0.077869897  0.0999548565
Age          -0.009938761  0.0007813996
> confint(fm,level=.875)
              6.25 %      93.75 %
(Intercept)  3.584169801  4.5105841156
BCS          0.045594874  0.1360272651
PI           0.009376995  0.0165600586
ET           0.012839905  0.0194195698
LT           -0.071729665  0.0938146251
Age          -0.009568598  0.0004112359
> confint(fm,level=.75)
              12.5 %      87.5 %
(Intercept)  3.701931148  4.3928227690
BCS          0.057090206  0.1245319330
PI           0.010290072  0.0156469820
ET           0.013676280  0.0185831943
LT           -0.050686469  0.0727714285

```

```
Age          -0.008300009 -0.0008573527
```

```
> # Estimation of mean response, its confidence intervals, prediction intervals

> new<- data.frame(BCS=c(6.2, 7.5, 8.3, 9),PI=c(76, 58, 82, 90),ET=c(67, 77, 91,
+ 88),LT=c(1.8, 2.3, 3.1, 3.6), Age=c(45, 38, 50, 48))

> new
  BCS PI ET  LT Age
1 6.2 76 67 1.8 45
2 7.5 58 77 2.3 38
3 8.3 82 91 3.1 50
4 9.0 90 88 3.6 48

> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, se.fit = TRUE)

> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="prediction",level=.9875)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 5.812892 7.168192
2 6.574032 5.887388 7.260676
3 7.137632 6.438317 7.836947
4 7.271237 6.562157 7.980318
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="confidence",level=.9875)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 6.280318 6.700765
2 6.574032 6.336409 6.811655
3 7.137632 6.865554 7.409709
4 7.271237 6.974961 7.567513
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="prediction",level=.975)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 5.886373 7.094711
2 6.574032 5.961844 7.186220
3 7.137632 6.514147 7.761117
4 7.271237 6.639046 7.903428
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="confidence",level=.975)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 6.303114 6.677970
2 6.574032 6.362176 6.785889
3 7.137632 6.895057 7.380207
4 7.271237 7.007088 7.535386
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="prediction",level=.95)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 5.965556 7.015527
2 6.574032 6.042079 7.105986
3 7.137632 6.595862 7.679402
4 7.271237 6.721902 7.820572
```

```
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="confidence",level=.95)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 6.327679 6.653405
2 6.574032 6.389942 6.758122
3 7.137632 6.926849 7.348414
4 7.271237 7.041708 7.500766
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="prediction",level=.9)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 6.052611 6.928472
2 6.574032 6.130289 7.017775
3 7.137632 6.685700 7.589563
4 7.271237 6.812995 7.729479
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="confidence",level=.9)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 6.354685 6.626398
2 6.574032 6.420468 6.727596
3 7.137632 6.961802 7.313462
4 7.271237 7.079769 7.462705
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="prediction",level=.875)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 6.082855 6.898229
2 6.574032 6.160934 6.987131
3 7.137632 6.716910 7.558353
4 7.271237 6.844641 7.697834
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="confidence",level=.875)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 6.364067 6.617016
2 6.574032 6.431073 6.716991
3 7.137632 6.973945 7.301319
4 7.271237 7.092992 7.449482
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="prediction",level=.75)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 6.186501 6.794583
2 6.574032 6.265956 6.882108
3 7.137632 6.823870 7.451393
4 7.271237 6.953094 7.589380
> predict(lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET+LT+Age), new, interval="confidence",level=.75)
      fit      lwr      upr
1 6.490542 6.396221 6.584863
2 6.574032 6.467418 6.680646
3 7.137632 7.015559 7.259705
4 7.271237 7.138307 7.404167

> # General Linear Test Approach

> fm12 <- lm(LST~BCS+PI, dum)
```

```

> fm123 <- lm(LST~BCS+PI+ET, dum)
> fm23 <- lm(LST~PI+ET, dum)
> fm234 <- lm(LST~PI+ET+LT, dum)
> fm13 <- lm(LST~BCS+ET, dum)
> fm134 <- lm(LST~BCS+ET+LT, dum)
> fm24 <- lm(LST~PI+LT, dum)
> fm245 <- lm(LST~PI+LT+Age, dum)
> fm14 <- lm(LST~BCS+LT, dum)
> fm145 <- lm(LST~BCS+LT+Age, dum)
> fm45 <- lm(LST~LT+Age, dum)
> fm345 <- lm(LST~ET+LT+Age, dum)

```

```
> anova(fm12)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
BCS	1	0.7763	0.77626	4.1926	0.0457685 *
PI	1	2.5888	2.58880	13.9821	0.0004681 ***
Residuals	51	9.4427	0.18515		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```
> anova(fm123)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
BCS	1	0.7763	0.7763	12.486	0.0008931 ***
PI	1	2.5888	2.5888	41.640	4.307e-08 ***
ET	1	6.3341	6.3341	101.883	1.174e-13 ***
Residuals	50	3.1085	0.0622		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```
> anova(fm23)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
PI	1	2.8285	2.8285	33.451	4.467e-07 ***
ET	1	5.6667	5.6667	67.015	7.439e-11 ***
Residuals	51	4.3125	0.0846		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```
> anova(fm234)
Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST
      Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
PI      1  2.8285   2.8285 39.1317 8.757e-08 ***
ET      1  5.6667   5.6667 78.3963 8.178e-12 ***
LT      1  0.6984   0.6984  9.6615 0.003102 **
Residuals 50 3.6141   0.0723
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
> anova(fm13)
Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST
      Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
BCS     1  0.7763   0.7763  6.8482 0.01165 *
ET      1  6.2505   6.2505 55.1423 1.158e-09 ***
Residuals 51 5.7810   0.1134
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
> anova(fm134)
Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST
      Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
BCS     1  0.7763   0.7763  7.8128 0.007339 **
ET      1  6.2505   6.2505 62.9099 2.122e-10 ***
LT      1  0.8131   0.8131  8.1841 0.006153 **
Residuals 50 4.9678   0.0994
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
> anova(fm24)
Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST
      Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
PI      1  2.8285   2.8285 21.784 2.247e-05 ***
LT      1  3.3572   3.3572 25.855 5.321e-06 ***
Residuals 51 6.6220   0.1298
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
> anova(fm245)
```


Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
PI	1	2.8285	2.8285	21.3712	2.695e-05 ***
LT	1	3.3572	3.3572	25.3652	6.555e-06 ***
Age	1	0.0043	0.0043	0.0329	0.8569
Residuals	50	6.6177	0.1324		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

> anova(fm14)

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
BCS	1	0.7763	0.7763	5.4239	0.02386 *
LT	1	4.7324	4.7324	33.0664	5.034e-07 ***
Residuals	51	7.2991	0.1431		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

> anova(fm145)

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
BCS	1	0.7763	0.7763	5.3175	0.02530 *
LT	1	4.7324	4.7324	32.4181	6.524e-07 ***
Age	1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0002	0.98943
Residuals	50	7.2990	0.1460		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

> anova(fm45)

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
LT	1	5.3990	5.3990	37.1726	1.445e-07 ***
Age	1	0.0014	0.0014	0.0098	0.9215
Residuals	51	7.4073	0.1452		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

> anova(fm345)

Analysis of Variance Table

Response: LST

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
ET	1	5.4762	5.4762	53.6548	1.863e-09 ***
LT	1	2.2019	2.2019	21.5737	2.503e-05 ***
Age	1	0.0266	0.0266	0.2603	0.6122
Residuals	50	5.1031	0.1021		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

>

```

> c(qf(.95,1,50),qf(.95,2,50),qf(.95,3,50),qf(.95,4,50))
[1] 4.034310 3.182610 2.790008 2.557179
> c(qf(.95,1,48),qf(.95,2,48),qf(.95,3,48),qf(.95,4,48))
[1] 4.042652 3.190727 2.798061 2.565241
> c(qf(.95,1,46),qf(.95,2,46),qf(.95,3,46),qf(.95,4,46))
[1] 4.051749 3.199582 2.806845 2.574035
> c(qf(.9,1,50),qf(.9,2,50),qf(.9,3,50),qf(.9,4,50))
[1] 2.808658 2.411955 2.196730 2.060816
> c(qf(.9,1,48),qf(.9,2,48),qf(.9,3,48),qf(.9,4,48))
[1] 2.813081 2.416660 2.201591 2.065805
> c(qf(.9,1,46),qf(.9,2,46),qf(.9,3,46),qf(.9,4,46))
[1] 2.817901 2.421788 2.206890 2.071244
> c(qf(.8,1,50),qf(.8,2,50),qf(.8,3,50),qf(.8,4,50))
[1] 1.686657 1.662374 1.604763 1.558084
> c(qf(.8,1,48),qf(.8,2,48),qf(.8,3,48),qf(.8,4,48))
[1] 1.688541 1.664629 1.607214 1.560677
> c(qf(.75,1,46),qf(.8,2,46),qf(.8,3,46),qf(.8,4,46))
[1] 1.357370 1.667085 1.609884 1.563500
> c(qf(.75,1,50),qf(.75,2,50),qf(.75,3,50),qf(.75,4,50))
[1] 1.354597 1.425451 1.412788 1.392661
> c(qf(.75,1,48),qf(.75,2,48),qf(.75,3,48),qf(.75,4,48))
[1] 1.355925 1.427114 1.414628 1.394626
> c(qf(.75,1,46),qf(.75,2,46),qf(.75,3,46),qf(.75,4,46))
[1] 1.357370 1.428925 1.416631 1.396764
>

```